In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated:05.07.2006

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P. SATHASIVAM

..

Civil Revision Petition (NPD) No.1036 & 1037 of 2006
and connected Mps.,

R. Rukmani .. Petitioner in both the CRPs

vs.

1. Rukmani @ Rajammal
2. P. Srinivasan
3. Jothimani ..Respondents in both the CRPs

Revision Petitions filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 24.08.2005 made in I.A.No.833 and 834 of 2005 in O.S.No.68 of 1996 on the file of Principal District Munsif, Coimbatore.

For petitioner : Mr. J. Pothiraj

COMMON ORDER

The Court below after taking note of the fact that the petitioners therein last their father and in view of the bereavement in the family, they were not in a position to file a petition to set the ex parte decree dated 06.02.2003 within the prescribed period, condoned the delay in filing a petition to set aside the ex parte order. In addition to the same, the Court below in order to protect the interest of the respondent therein, awarded a cost of Rs.1,000/-. I do not find any error or infirmity in the impugned orders; hence, both the revisions fail and are accordingly dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected Mps., are also dismissed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-
Asst. Registrar.

/true copy/

Sub Asst. Registrar.

kh

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

To
The Prl. District Munsif
Coimbatore.

Common Order in
C.R.P.(NPD)Nos.1036&1037/06

tej(co)
gp/14.7.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/